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What is a Management System?

- A **management system** is the framework of policies, processes and procedures used by an organization to ensure that it can fulfill all the tasks required to achieve its objectives.

- **Management system** is the way in which an organization manages the inter-related parts of its business in order to achieve its objectives. These objectives can relate to a number of different topics, including product or service quality, operational efficiency, environmental performance, health and safety in the workplace and many more.

- In its most basic sense, a **management system** is how organizations ensure things get done.

- Your workplace probably already has the basic elements of a management system;

- Includes principles, framework, accountabilities, procedures, training, record keeping....etc;
Examples of Management Systems

- ISO (International Organization for Standardization) is an independent, non-governmental international organization with a membership of 161 national standards bodies.

- Examples of ISO Standards include:
  - ISO 50001 - Energy management
  - ISO 9001 - Quality management
  - ISO 14000 family - Environmental management
  - ISO 45001 - Occupational health and safety
  - ISO 37001 – Anti-bribery management systems

- SAI certification:
  - SA 8000 – Social Accountability

- International Standards make things work. They give world-class specifications for products, services and systems, to ensure quality, safety and efficiency.
External Certification – some thoughts....

- External certification can be beneficial for the business – e.g.:
  - Some govt. or other supply contracts may require or give preference to companies with external certifications;
  - Public reporting.

- However:
  - External certification needs to reflect what is actually happening at the site;
  - We’ve seen major deficiencies at some external certified sites;
  - In some cases, external certification is more document driven than actual practice driven;
  - We’ve seen examples of expired external certification;
Points to Consider in Management Systems

- Tailored and suitable for your business;
- It works – makes your workplace more efficient;
- It’s sustainable;
- It’s integrated with other key components of your business – e.g. Quality, productivity; Customer Service;
- It’s able to provide evidence for monitoring, review, continual improvements and compliance.
PSCI Questionnaire – Management Systems

- Q1 – Commitment and Accountability;
- Q2 - Q4 – Legal and Customer Requirements;
- Q5 - Q8 – Risk Management;
- Q9 – Documentation;
- Q10 – Training and Competency;
- Q11 – Continual Improvement.
Management System Maturity

- Maturity based on issues such as:
  - Established processes;
  - Governance;
  - Systems/tools;
  - Metrics, KPIs

- Starting Programs - the basics may be there, minimal processes that are not well established;
- Developing Programs - basic internal processes; developing site-wide awareness;
- Implementing Programs - processes are in place; clear responsibilities for key staff;
- Leading programs - established and robust processes; embedded into the business culture.
Management Systems Maturity Model
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Now aligned with the four-tier PSCI common framework
This maturity model has been developed:

(1) as a tool to help you assess the current state of your organization’s Management Systems relative to PSCI’s Supplier Audit Questionnaire and

(2) to understand what PSCI Member Companies look for in their suppliers.

Please use this in conjunction with your contact at the Member Company to identify where your organization would like to make improvements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitment &amp; Accountability</th>
<th>Starting Program</th>
<th>Developing Program</th>
<th>Implementing Program</th>
<th>Leading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Written management commitment regarding EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics</td>
<td>1. EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics are standard agenda topics for management team meetings</td>
<td>1. Specific senior managers are responsible and held accountable for implementing the commitments</td>
<td>1. Proactive leaders effectively make a difference, demonstrate commitment and leadership on EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics topics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Occasional follow-up on EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics topics at management team meetings</td>
<td>2. Commitment and accountability are documented through SOPs or other means</td>
<td>2. Senior managers are actively involved in EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics topics</td>
<td>2. The management team monitors continuous improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Appropriate resources are allocated to ensure delivery of the commitments</td>
<td>3. Employees are empowered to approach management with suggestions and questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics topics are handled in line with relevant 3rd party certifications (ISO 14001, OHSAS 18000 or equivalent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal &amp; Customer Requirements</th>
<th>Starting Program</th>
<th>Developing Program</th>
<th>Implementing Program</th>
<th>Leading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Identify and comply with minimum regulations, standards and relevant customer requirements</td>
<td>1. EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics are included in Legal Register</td>
<td>1. Program to address noncompliance or under performance, including customer requirements</td>
<td>1. Compliant culture among general workforce, with strong KPI focus on legal and customer expectations on EHS, Labor/Human Rights and Ethics topics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Actively conduct due diligence to identify and comply with regulation and customer requirements</td>
<td>2. Ongoing consultations and reviews of forthcoming legislation to identify potential changes in regulations</td>
<td>2. Empowered culture that proactively anticipates regulatory changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td>Starting Program</td>
<td>Developing Program</td>
<td>Implementing Program</td>
<td>Leading Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Limited risk assessment process and program in place</td>
<td>1. All tasks are assessed, including permit to work used to control high risk activities</td>
<td>1. Well defined process for risk assessment and business continuity in place, including scoring matrix</td>
<td>1. Risk Management becomes integral part of everyday business, including a formal change control program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Risk assessments are high level and not task specific</td>
<td>2. Incidents are investigated and analysed</td>
<td>2. Adherence to risk management plans and procedures</td>
<td>2. Everyone consistently demonstrates risk management and awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reactive risk prioritization with minimal consideration for business continuity</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Everyone gets involved in risk assessment, including workers</td>
<td>3. Business routinely reviews risks and business continuity and implements improvements to reduce risk scores</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Documentation</th>
<th>Starting Program</th>
<th>Developing Program</th>
<th>Implementing Program</th>
<th>Leading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minimal records/data/documents available</td>
<td>1. Oversight of documentation/procedures limited and may not include reviews by Subject Matter Experts (SMEs)</td>
<td>1. Governance program in place to manage records/data/documents</td>
<td>1. Established governance system with set policies to define documentation requirements across the enterprise, making sure that all documentation necessary to demonstrate conformance with the PSCI Principles and compliance with applicable regulations is available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Limited governance to review/revise/update documents</td>
<td>2. Systems standalone and not integrated/limited availability for parts of operations/business</td>
<td>2. Formal process to manage access control and data privacy</td>
<td>2. Tools in place to manage activities, roles and responsibilities integrated with business processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Minimal control of access to records/data/documents</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Documents, policies, procedures and processes aligned across functional areas and result in one system for the entire enterprise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and Competency</td>
<td>Starting Program</td>
<td>Developing Program</td>
<td>Implementing Program</td>
<td>Leading Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Training is minimal, ad-hoc and reactive</td>
<td>1. Training curriculum in place and regularly reviewed</td>
<td>1. Automated system for monitoring of training compliance</td>
<td>1. Workers are highly skilled and demonstrate a high level of training awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Formal discipline is used to enforce rules</td>
<td>2. Cross-organisation training on different areas and tasks set to further develop workers</td>
<td>2. Development of workers is a priority and investment is made in learning</td>
<td>2. Business encourages professional development, membership of professional groups and further education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Supervisory Control of day to day tasks</td>
<td>3. Competency in critical training programs is assessed</td>
<td>3. Competency requirements are established</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continual Improvement</th>
<th>Starting Program</th>
<th>Developing Program</th>
<th>Implementing Program</th>
<th>Leading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minimal continual improvement efforts</td>
<td>1. KPI/Metrics available for some of the goals/targets and measure lagging indicators</td>
<td>1. Improvement opportunities are identified and managed and lead to optimization of current practices</td>
<td>1. Leading/predictive and Lagging indicators are used to measure performance against goals/objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Limited or reactive KPIs in place to measure progress toward achieving goals and objectives</td>
<td>2. Management is aware of metrics/KPI and are reviewed periodically; KPIs only visible to management</td>
<td>2. Incidents are properly investigated, and corrective action plans adopted (with regular follow-up to ensure implementation)</td>
<td>2. Culture of Continuous Improvement throughout organization with metrics highly visible and transparent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Limited management/employee awareness on how to measure progress toward achieving goals/targets</td>
<td>4. Metrics/KPIs have minimal management sponsorship</td>
<td>3. Employees empowered to make recommendations and changes</td>
<td>3. Employees empowered to make recommendations and changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Metrics/KPIs have minimal management sponsorship</td>
<td>2. Management is aware of metrics/KPI and are reviewed periodically; KPIs only visible to management</td>
<td>4. Continual Improvement is encouraged at all levels of facility</td>
<td>4. Continual Improvement is encouraged at all levels of facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Workers are empowered to implement and continually improve processes</td>
<td>1. Management is aware of metrics/KPI and are reviewed periodically; KPIs only visible to management</td>
<td>5. Workers are empowered to implement and continually improve processes</td>
<td>5. Workers are empowered to implement and continually improve processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Concerns</td>
<td>Starting Program</td>
<td>Developing Program</td>
<td>Implementing Program</td>
<td>Leading Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Limited identification of concerns</td>
<td>1. Processes in place to allow and encourage workers to report concerns, illegal activities or breaches of the PSCI Principles without threat of or actual reprisal, intimidation and reprisal</td>
<td>1. Culture of reporting concerns embedded throughout all levels of the organisation</td>
<td>1. Employees are empowered and proactively encouraged to identify concerns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Role restricted to management</td>
<td>2. Concerns are investigated and corrective action taken if needed</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Incidents and serious near misses are investigated, root causes and action plans are identified and shared to embed a proactive approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication</th>
<th>Starting Program</th>
<th>Developing Program</th>
<th>Implementing Program</th>
<th>Leading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Communication is ad hoc and reactive; communication takes place on a need-to-know basis</td>
<td>1. Communication regular; the process is well defined and documented</td>
<td>1. Communication takes place using plural established audience appropriate communication channels</td>
<td>1. Communications processes, plans and channels are regularly reviewed to ensure their effectiveness. Workers, contractors and suppliers are fully informed and demonstrate good understanding of The PSCI Principles and other relevant content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Areas of Concern from PSCI Assessments

- Who signs off and approves the components of the Management System?
- Is what is seen across the site match the written programs and the regulatory requirements?
- Does the site know their risks and regulatory obligations?
- Is the site generally compliant to regulatory requirements?
- Is the site sufficiently resourced for its Safety, Environment, Labour and Ethics programs?
- Is the site technically capable to address Safety, Environment, Labour and Ethics programs?
- Does training exist for the above?
- Does the site have a self-inspection/auditing program to show their programs are actually being followed?
- Who is responsible and accountable for Safety, Environment, Labour and Ethics programs?
- What are the gaps and why are there gaps?
- Is the site willing to improve?
- Are there major system failures, gaps?
Common Gaps in Management Systems from PSCI assessments

- Regulatory compliance – gaps in knowledge of requirements, incomplete and/or expired permits, licenses, no system to keep current with changes in reg. requirements;
- Risk assessments – site does not understand or use risk assessment;
- BCP – lack of BCP, major risks not analysed, no recovery strategy, has not been practised;
- Change Management – usually in place for Quality, but minimal HSE, Labour, Ethics considerations;
- Documentation – minimal developed, implemented and documented processes, SOPs not reviewed, SOPs NOT FOLLOWED, gaps in training programs
For more information about the PSCI please contact:

PSCI Secretariat
Carnstone Partners Ltd
Durham House
Durham House Street
London
WC2N 6HG

info@pscinitiative.org
+44 (0) 7794 557 524

About the Secretariat
Carnstone Partners Ltd is an independent management consultancy, specialising in corporate responsibility and sustainability, with a long track record in running industry groups.